# Structural approach to subset-sum problems

Endre Szemerédi

Rutgers University, New Jersey Alfréd Rényi Institute, Budapest (joint work with Van H. Vu)

# Notation

**AP** stands for Arithmetic Progression

 $\mathcal{A}$  is a set of integers,  $\mathcal{A}(n) = |\mathcal{A} \cap [1, n]|$ .  $2\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$ 

$$\ell \mathcal{A} = \{a_1 + \ldots + a_\ell \mid a_i \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is the collection of those numbers which can be represented as a sum of  $\ell$  elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

$$\ell^*\mathcal{A} = \{a_1 + \ldots + a_\ell \mid a_i \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

is the collection of those numbers which can be represented as a sum of  $\ell$  *different elements* of A.

**Example.** (Vinogradov's theorem) If  $\mathbf{P}$  is the set of primes, then  $3\mathbf{P}$  contains every sufficiently large odd number.

**Example.** (Waring's conjecture, proved by Hilbert, Hardy, Littlewood, Hua) asserts that for any given r there are numbers  $\ell_1(r)$  and  $\ell_2(r)$  such that both  $\ell_1 \mathbb{N}^r$  and ... contain every sufficiently large positive integer.

For a finite set  $\mathcal{A}$ , then natural analogue of Vinogradov-Waring results is to show that under appropriate conditions, a finite sum-set  $\ell \mathcal{A}$  (resp.  $\ell^* \mathcal{A}$ ) contains a long **AP**.

 $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ 

 $f(|\mathcal{A}|, \ell, n)$  (resp.  $f^*(|\mathcal{A}|, \ell, n)$ ) denotes the minimum length of the longest arithmetic progression in  $\ell \mathcal{A}, \ell^* \mathcal{A}$ .

# **Some earlier results:**

Bourgain (1990) proved that if  $|\mathcal{A}| = \gamma n$  where  $\gamma > 0$  is a constant, then  $2\mathcal{A}$  contains an arithmetic progression of length  $e^{\varepsilon(\gamma)(\log n)^{1/3}}$ . Green improved Bourgain's result by replacing  $(\log n)^{1/3}$  with  $(\log n)^{1/2}$ . On the other hand I. Ruzsa constructed a set  $\mathcal{A}$  of positive density, such that  $|2\mathcal{A}| \leq e^{(\log n)^{2/3}}$ .

Freiman, Halberstam and Ruzsa (1992) considered sum-sets modulo a prime and proved that

Let n be a prime and  $\mathcal{A}$  a set of residues modulo n. Let  $|\mathcal{A}| = \gamma n$ ,  $0 < \gamma < 1$  may depend on n. Then  $\ell \mathcal{A}$  contains an arithmetic progression (modulo n) of length

 $n^{\gamma/10}$ 

If the density of the sequence is  $\leq \frac{1}{\log n}$  then the previous results do not say too much.

# When $|\mathcal{A}|$ is "small",

still, something can be said: E. Croot, I. Ruzsa, T. Shoen  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [1,n]$ 

$$|\mathcal{A}| \ge N^{1 - \frac{1}{k-1}}$$

 $\implies 2\mathcal{A}$  contains an arithmetic progression of length at least k.

There is an  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [1, N]$  such that

 $|\mathcal{A}| \ge N^{1 - \frac{1}{k-1}}$  $|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}| \le e^{k^{2/3}}.$ 

# Many summands

#### Sárközy (1990) proved that

There are two positive constants c and C such that the following holds. If  $\mathcal{A}$  is a subset of [n] and  $\ell$  is a positive integer such that  $\ell |\mathcal{A}| \geq Cn$ , then  $\ell \mathcal{A}$  contains an arithmetic progressions of length  $c\ell |\mathcal{A}|$ .

Sárközy's result is sharp up to a constant factor. (If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an interval, then  $\ell \mathcal{A}$  is also an interval, of length at most  $|\ell \mathcal{A}|$ . The most interesting case is when  $\ell = |\mathcal{A}|$  and  $|\mathcal{A}| > c\sqrt{n}$ .)

**Question:** What happens if  $\ell A \ll n$ ? (Typical case, when  $\ell = n^{\alpha}$ ,  $|\mathcal{A}| = n^{\beta}$ , where  $0 < \alpha, \beta < 1$ .)

**Question:** What happens for  $\ell^* \mathcal{A}$ ?

# **First focus on** $\ell A$ ( $\ell^* A$ is much harder)

For simplicity, we assume that n and  $\ell$  are fixed and think of  $f(|\mathcal{A}|, \ell, n)$  as a function on  $|\mathcal{A}|$ , say  $g(|\mathcal{A}|)$ . A. Sárközy's theorem asserts that if

$$|A| > Cn/\ell$$
  $g(|A|) = \theta(\ell|A|)).$ 

Taking  $\mathcal{A}$  to be an interval implies the upper bound  $g(|\mathcal{A}|) = O(\ell |\mathcal{A}|)$ .

#### **Crucial observation**

When  $|\mathcal{A}| < n/\ell$ , there are better upper bounds on  $g(|\mathcal{A}|)$ . We present a construction with a set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [n]$  and an  $\ell$  such that  $\ell |\mathcal{A}| \approx n/4$ , while the length of the longest arithmetic progression in  $\ell \mathcal{A}$  is only  $O(\ell |\mathcal{A}|^{1/2})$ , which is much smaller than  $\ell |\mathcal{A}|$ .

### Construction

 $\mathcal{A} = \{ p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \mid 1 \le x_1, x_2 \le m \}$ 

where  $p_1 \approx p_2 \approx \frac{n}{2m}$  are two primes and  $p_1, p_2 > m$ , and  $m < \frac{1}{10}n^{1/2}$ . It is easy to see that  $|\mathcal{A}| = m^2$ .

Let  $\ell = \frac{n}{4|\mathcal{A}|} = \frac{n}{4m^2}$ . Then  $\ell \mathcal{A} = \{ p_1 x_1 + p_2 x_2 \mid 1 \le x_1, x_2 \le \ell m. \}$ 

If  $\mathcal{P}$  is an **AP** in  $\ell \mathcal{A}$ , then the coordinates of the elements of  $\mathcal{P}$  form **AP** of the same length. Thus  $|\mathcal{P}|$  is at most  $\ell m = \ell |\mathcal{A}|^{1/2}$ .

 $\mathcal{A}$  is a d + 1-dimensional cube. The general construction shows that for any fixed d there is a constant c(d) such that if  $\ell^d |\mathcal{A}| \leq cn$  then

 $|\ell \mathcal{A}| \leq \ell |\mathcal{A}|^{\frac{1}{d+1}}.$ 

This suggests that  $g(|\mathcal{A}|)$  is not a continuous function and follows a threshold behaviour, where the threshold points are

$$\frac{n}{\ell}, \ \ldots, \frac{n}{\ell^2}, \ \frac{n}{\ell^d}.$$

**Theorem (Van Vu-Sz. (2004)).** For any fixed positive integer d there are positive constants C and c (depending on d) such that the following holds: For any positive integers n and  $\ell$  and any set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [n]$  satisfying  $|\mathcal{A}| \geq Cn/\ell^d$  contains an arithmetic progression of length  $c\ell |\mathcal{A}|^{1/d}$ . **Corollary 1.** For any fixed positive integer d there are positive constants  $C_1, C_2, c_1, c_2$  depending on d such that whenever

$$\frac{C_1 n}{\ell^d} \le |\mathcal{A}| \le \frac{C_2 n}{\ell^{d-1}}$$

then

$$c_1\ell|\mathcal{A}|^{\frac{1}{d}} \leq g(|\mathcal{A}|) \leq c_2\ell|\mathcal{A}|^{1/d}.$$

The corollary confirms our intuition about thresholds. The threshold points are indeed

$$rac{n}{\ell}, \ \dots \ rac{n}{\ell^2}, \ rac{n}{\ell^d}.$$

 $g(|\mathcal{A}|)$  behaves like  $\ell |\mathcal{A}|^{1/d}$ ; to the left it behaves like  $\ell |\mathcal{A}|^{1/(d+1)}$ .

#### Now let us turn to $\ell^* \mathcal{A}$

**Recall that** 

$$\ell^* \mathcal{A} = \{a_1 + \ldots + a_\ell \mid a_i \in \mathcal{A}, \ a_i \neq a_j\}$$

The requirement that the summands must be different usually poses a great challenge in additive problems. One of the most well-known examples is the celebrated Erdős-Heilbronn's conjecture. In order to describe this conjecture, let us start with the classical Cauchy–Davenport theorem which asserts that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a set of residues modulo n, where n is a prime, then

 $2|\mathcal{A}| \ge \min\{n, 2|\mathcal{A}| - 1\}$ 

For  $\mathcal{A}$  being an arithmetic progression, the bound is sharp. Now let us consider  $2^*\mathcal{A}$ . We wish to bound  $|2^*\mathcal{A}|$  from below with something similar to the Cauchy-Davenport bound. Observe that in the special case when  $\mathcal{A}$  in an AP ,  $2^*|\mathcal{A}| = \min\{n, 2|\mathcal{A}| - 3\}$  holds for any set.

This is what Erdős and Heilbronn conjectured.

While the Cauchy-Davenport theorem is quite easy to prove, the Erdős-Heilbronn conjecture had been open for about thirty years, until it was proved by de Silva and Hamidounne in 1994.

With a lot of extra work Theorem 1 could be extended to

**Theorem (Van Vu-Sz. (2004)).** For any fixed positive integer d there are positive constants C and c depending on d such that the following holds. Fix any positive integer n and  $\ell$  and any set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [n]$ , satisfying  $\ell^d |\mathcal{A}| \geq Cn$ . Then  $\ell^* \mathcal{A}$  contains an **AP** of length  $c\ell |\mathcal{A}|^{1/d}$ .

While the two theorems look formally the same, Theorem 2 is a much harder result, even if d = 1.

# **Stronger, more structural results**

**Definition GAP** . (generalized arithmetic progressions)

$$\mathcal{A} := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i x_i \mid 0 \le x_i \le n_i \right\}.$$

dimension = d

Volume

$$\prod_{i=1}^d (n_i + 1).$$

PROPER

all  $\sum a_i x_i$  are different

$$\sum_{i=1}^{d} a_i x_i \iff (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d).$$

### **GAP theorem**

**Theorem (Van Vu-Sz. (2004)).** For any fixed positive integer d there are positive constants C and c depending on d such that the following holds. Fix any positive integer n and  $\ell$  and any set  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [n]$ , satisfying  $\ell^d |\mathcal{A}| \ge Cn$ . Then  $\ell \mathcal{A}$  contains a PROPER **GAP** for some dimension  $d' \le d$ , volume  $c\ell^{d'}|\mathcal{A}|$ .

This implies that  $\ell \mathcal{A}$  contains an **AP** of length  $c\ell |\mathcal{A}|^{1/d}$ .

Same holds for  $\ell^* \mathcal{A}$ .

# Another extension of the theorem on $\ell \mathcal{A}$

Let  $\mathcal{A}_i$  be sets of integers. Define

$$\mathcal{A}_1 + \ldots + \mathcal{A}_\ell = \{a_1 + \ldots + a_\ell \mid a_i \in \mathcal{A}_i\}$$

**Theorem 4 (Van Vu-Sz. (2006)).** For any fixed positive integer d there are positive constants C and c depending on d such that the following holds. For any positive integers n and  $\ell$  and collection  $\mathcal{A}_1 \subset [n], \ldots, \mathcal{A}_\ell \subset [n]$ , where  $|\mathcal{A}_i| = |\mathcal{A}_j| = A$ , and  $\ell^d A > Cn$ ,

$$\mathcal{A}_1 + \ldots + \mathcal{A}_\ell$$

contains an **AP** of length  $c\ell A^{1/d}$ .

#### **New results**

**Theorem (Van Vu-Sz. (2009)).** If  $A \subseteq [1, n]$  and  $|A| > 2\sqrt{n}$  then  $S_A$  contains a homogenous AP of length n.

( $\mathcal{A}$  is homogenous if  $\mathcal{A} = \{d(x+c) : \ell_1 \leq x \leq \ell_2\}$ )

O. Serra + Y. Hamidounne +A. Lada resently proved that mod n the sumset covers all the n residue classes. (This solves an old conjecture of Olson.)

Our result implies their theorem. Our result is tight. The following example yields the tightness:

$$\mathcal{A} = \{1, 2, [\sqrt{n}], n, n-1, n-2, n-[\sqrt{n}].$$

Our constans can be improved.

# **Applications**

An infinite set  $\mathcal{A}$  of positive integers is *complete* if every sufficiently large positive integer can be represented as a sum of different elements of  $\mathcal{A}$ For instance, Waring's conjecture implies that the set

$$\{1^r, 2^r, 3^r, \dots, \}$$

is complete for any fixed r.

What would be necessary for a sequence to be complete?

Well, density must be the answer: one cannot hope to represent every positive integer with a *very sparse* sequence. But density itself would not be enough. The set of even numbers has very high density but clearly, is not complete. This shows that we also need a condition involving *modularity*. In the following  $\mathcal{A}(n) = |\mathcal{A} \cap [1, n]|$ .

# Conjecture, Erdős, 1962

There is a constant c such that the following holds. An increasing sequence  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < \ldots\}$  is complete if (a)  $\mathcal{A}(n) > cn^{1/2}$ . (b)  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$  contains an element of every infinite **AP**.

(This says that for any a, b there is an  $s \in S_A$  that equals a modulo b.)

The bound on  $\mathcal{A}(n)$  is the best possible, up to the constant factor c, as shown by Cassels, (1960).

#### Results

Erdős (1962) proved a weaker form of his conjecture:

It holds if one replaces (a) by a stronger condition

$$\mathcal{A}(n) > cn^{\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{5}-1}.$$

Folkman (1962) proved that  $\mathcal{A}(n) > cn^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  is sufficient, for any constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Hegyvári (1994) and Łuczak & Schoen (1994) independently reduced this to

 $\mathcal{A}(n) > cn^{\frac{1}{2}} \log n.$ 

Theorem (Van Vu-Sz. (2003)). Erdős' conjecture holds.

[Related results of Chen, different approach.]

# **Non-decreasing sequences**

An infinite sequence  $\mathcal{A}$  is sub-complete if  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{A}}$  contains an infinite **AP**. Again,  $\mathcal{A}(n)$  denotes the number of elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  in [1, n]. This number could be larger than n as we allow A to contain the same number many times.

In 1966 Folkman made the following conjecture:

**Conjecture** (Folkman). There is a constant C > 0 such that the following holds. If  $\mathcal{A} = \{a_1 \leq a_2 \leq a_3 \leq ...\}$  is an infinite non-decreasing sequence of positive integers, and  $\mathcal{A}(n) \geq Cn$  for all sufficiently large n, then  $\mathcal{A}$  is subcomplete.

(If true Folkman's conjecture is tight.)

Theorem (Van Vu-Sz. (2004)). Folkman's conjecture is true

# **The number of 0-sum-free sets**

 $\mathcal{A}$  is called *zero-sum-free* if  $0 \notin S_{\mathcal{A}}$ , where  $S_{\mathcal{A}}$  is the collection of subset sums of  $\mathcal{A} \mod n$ .

Olson proved that a zero-sum-free set has at most  $2n^{1/2}$  elements.

So the number of zero-sum-free sets is at most

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2\sqrt{n}} \binom{n}{i} = 2^{\Omega(\sqrt{n}\log n)}.$$

**Theorem (Van Vu-Sz. (2003)).** Let n be a prime. The number of zero-sum-

free sets (mod n) is

 $2^{(\sqrt{1/3}\pi\log_2 e + o(1))\sqrt{n}}$ 

# Why?

 $\mathcal{A}$  is *n*-small if the sum of the elements in  $\mathcal{A}$  is less than *n*.

The number of representations of n as a sum of different positive integers is

$$2^{\left(\sqrt{1/3}\pi\log_2 e + o(1)\right)\sqrt{n}}$$

Consequently, the number of n-small sets is

$$2^{\left(\sqrt{1/3}\pi\log_2 e + o(1)\right)\sqrt{n}}$$

**Theorem (Van Vu-Sz. (2003)).**  $\approx$  *Most of the* 0*-free sets are n-small, so* 

their number is at most

 $2^{\left(\sqrt{1/3}\pi\log_2 e + o(1)\right)\sqrt{n}}$ 

# **The number of** *x***-sum-free sets**

**Definition**. Let  $x \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ .  $\mathcal{A}$  is *x*-sum-free, if  $x \not\in S_{\mathcal{A}}$ . (The number of *x*-sum-free sets is the same for every  $x \not\equiv 0$ )

Theorem (Van Vu-Sz. (2003)). The number of x -sum-free sets is  $2^{(\sqrt{2/3}\pi\log_2 e + o(1))\sqrt{n}}$ 

The reason is that a typical  $\frac{1}{2}n$ -sum-free set is of the form

 $\mathcal{A}_1 \cup (n - \mathcal{A}_2),$ 

where  $\mathcal{A}_1$  and  $\mathcal{A}_2$  are  $\frac{1}{2}(n-1)$  small sets.

# Proof ???

**Lemma 1** (Fundamental theorem of G. Freiman). For every positive constant c there is a positive integer d and a positive constant k such that the following holds. If  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  and  $|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}| \leq c|\mathcal{A}|$ , then  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}$  is a subset of a **GAP**  $\mathcal{P}$  of dimension d with volume at most  $k|\mathcal{A}|$ .

**Lemma 2** (Generalization of I. Ruzsa). For every positive constant c there is a positive integer d and a positive constant k such that the following holds. If  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$  of the same cardinality and  $|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}| \leq c|\mathcal{A}|$ , then  $\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}$  is a subset of a GAP  $\mathcal{P}$  of dimension d with volume at most  $k|\mathcal{A}|$ .

**Definition 1.** A set  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $(\delta, d)$ -set if one can find a **GAP**  $\mathcal{Q}$  of dimension d such that  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{A}$  satisfies  $|\mathcal{B}| > \delta \max\{|\mathcal{A}|, \operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{Q})\}$ 

**Lemma 3.** For any constant  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and integer d there exists a constant  $\delta > 0$  such that the following holds. If  $|\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}| \le (2^d - \varepsilon)|\mathcal{A}|$ , then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $(\delta, d)$ -set.

This is in a paper of Bilu, a direct consequence of Freiman's cube-lemma and Freiman's theorem.

# Lemmas, cont, II

**Lemma 4.** For any positive integer d, there is a positive  $\delta$  such that the following holds. If a **GAP** Q of dimension d is proper, but 2Q is not, then 2Q is a  $(\delta, d - 1)$ -set.

**Lemma 5.** For any positive constant  $\gamma$ , and positive integer d there is a positive constant  $\gamma'$  and a positive integer g such that the following holds. If  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_g$  are subsets of a **GAP**  $\mathcal{P}$ , of dimension d and  $\operatorname{Vol}(X_i) > \gamma \operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{P})$ , then  $X_1 + X_2 + \ldots + X_g$  contains a **GAP**  $\mathcal{Q}$  of dimension d and cardinality at least  $\gamma' \operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{P})$ . Moreover, the differences of  $\mathcal{Q}$  are the multiples of the differences of **P**.

# Lemmas, cont, III

**Lemma 6.** For any positive constant  $\gamma$ , and positive integer d there is a positive constant  $\gamma'$  and a positive integer h such that the following holds. If  $\mathcal{P}$  is a **GAP** of dimension d, and  $\mathcal{B} \subset \mathcal{P}$  for which  $|\mathcal{B}| > \gamma \operatorname{Vol}(\mathcal{P})$ , then  $h\mathcal{B}$  contains a PROPER **GAP**  $\mathcal{Q}$  of dimension d and volume at least  $\gamma'|\mathcal{B}|$ .

Lemma 7. Let  $\mathcal{P} = \{x_1a_1 + x_2a_2 : 0 \le x_i \le \ell_i\}.$ Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be a GAP of dimension 2. The  $\mathcal{P}$  contains AP of length  $\frac{3}{5}|\mathcal{P}|$  and difference  $gcd(a_1, a_2).$