## Entropy-expansiveness and domination

M. J. Pacifico, J. L. Vieitez \*

May, 5, 2006

## Abstract

Let  $f: M \to M$  be a  $C^r$ -diffeomorphism defined in a compact boundaryless surface M, d = 2, 3. We prove that if K is a compact f-invariant subset of M with a dominated splitting then f/K is h-expansive. Reciprocally, if there exists a  $C^r$  neighborhood of  $f, \mathcal{U}$ , such that for  $g \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists  $K_g$ compact invariant such that  $g/K_g$  is h-expansive then there is a dominated splitting for  $K_g$ .

## 1 Introduction

In order to obtain results about the complexity of the dynamics: recurrence, existence of periodic orbits, SRB measures, etc., one usually try to express dynamic properties at the infinitesimal level, ie: precise definitions are given prescribing the behaviour of the tangent map  $Df: TM \to TM$  of a diffeomorphism  $f: M \to M$ . Examples of that are the concepts of hyperbolicity and the existence of dominated splittings. On the other hand a robust dynamic property (i.e. a property that holds for a system and all nearby ones) should leave its *impromptus* in the behaviour of the tangent map of those differentiable systems sharing that property. In [PPV], [SV] and [PPSV] it has been studied the influence of expansiveness when it holds in a homoclinic class H associated to a hyperbolic periodic point p such that H and the corresponding homoclinic classes  $H_g$ , for all diffeomorphism g nearby f, are expansive. It is proved there that in that case there exists dominated splitting and moreover that it is hyperbolic in the codimension one case ([PPV], [PPSV]). In the general codimension case we also obtain hyperbolicity adding an extra hypothesis called germ-expansiveness (see [SV]).

In this paper we relax expansiveness asking what should be the properties of the

<sup>\*</sup>Partially supported by CNPq, FAPERJ, Pronex Dyn. Systems and CSIC-Uy 2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 37D30

tangent map Df of a diffeomorphism f such that robustly exhibits h-expansiveness (entropy-expansiveness, see definitions below). We obtain that for such maps it exists a dominated splitting. On the other hand we prove that if K admits a dominated splitting then it is h-expansive. Thus robust h-expansiveness is equivalent to the existence of domination in the two dimensional case.

Moreover, we give here an example of a  $C^{\infty}$  diffeomorphism that is not *h*-expansive. By a result of Buzzi (see [Bu]) such an example is asymptotically *h*-expansive since it is  $C^{\infty}$ . The first examples of diffeomorphisms that are not *h*-expansive and even not asymptotically *h*-expansive were given by Misiurewicz in [Mi] answering a question posed by Bowen. We give our example here because of its good properties from various points of view. First it is clear that it has not a dominated splitting. Second it is defined on  $S^2$ , is ergodic and even has Bernoulli property. Third it admitts analytic models a stronger property than being  $C^{\infty}$ .

Let us now give precise definitions. Let M be a compact connected boundaryless Riemmanian d-dimensional manifold and  $f: M \to M$  a homeomorphism. Let K be a compact invariant subset of M and dist  $: M \times M \to \mathbb{R}^+$  a distance in Mcompatible with its Riemannian structure. For  $E, F \subset K, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\delta > 0$  we say that  $E(n, \delta)$  spans F with respect to f if for each  $y \in F$  there is  $x \in E$  such that dist $(f^j(x), f^j(y)) \leq \delta$  for all  $j+0, \ldots, n-1$ . Let  $r_n(\delta, F)$  denote the minimum cardinality of a set that  $(n, \delta)$  spans F. Since K is compact  $r_n(\delta, F) < \infty$ . We define

$$h(f, F, \delta) = \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log(r_n(\delta, F))$$

and

$$h(f,F) = \lim_{\delta \to 0} h(f,F,\delta) \,.$$

The last limit exists since  $h(f, F, \delta)$  increases as  $\delta$  decreases to zero.

For  $x \in K$  let us define

$$\Gamma_{\epsilon}(x) = \{ y \in M \, / \, d(f^n(x), f^n(y)) \le \epsilon \} \, .$$

Following Bowen (see [Bo]) we say that f/K is **entropy-expansive** or *h*-**expansive** iff there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  such that

$$h_f^*(\epsilon) = \sup_{x \in K} h(f, \Gamma_\epsilon(x)) = 0.$$

The importance of f being *h*-expansive is that the topological entropy of f restricted to K, h(f/K), is equal to its estimate using  $\epsilon$ :  $h(f, K) = h(f, K, \epsilon)$ . More precisely: **Theorem 1.1.** For all homeomorphism f defined in a compact invariant set K it holds

$$h(f,K) \le h(f,K,\epsilon) + h_f^*(\epsilon)$$
 in particular  $h(f,K) = h(f,K,\epsilon)$  if  $h_f^*(\epsilon) = 0$ .

*Proof.* See [Bo], Theorem 2.4.

A weaker property of that of being *h*-expansive is that of being asymptotically *h*-expansive ([Mi]). Let K be a compact metric space and  $f: K \to K$  an homeomorphism. We say that f is asymptotically *h*-expansive iff

$$\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} h_f^*(\epsilon) = 0$$

Thus we do not require that for a certain  $\epsilon > 0$   $h_f^*(\epsilon) = 0$  but that  $h_f^*(\epsilon) \to 0$  when  $\epsilon \to 0$ . It has been proved by Buzzi that any  $C^{\infty}$  diffeomorphism defined on a compact manifold is asymptotically *h*-expansive. Hence our example although not *h*-expansive is asymptotically *h*-expansive.

Our main results are the following:

**Definition 1.1.** We say that a compact f-invariant set  $\Lambda$  admits a dominated splitting if the tangent bundle  $T_{\Lambda}M$  has a continuous f-invariant splitting  $E \oplus F$  and there exist  $C > 0, 0 < \lambda < 1$  such that

$$\|Df^n|E(x)\| \cdot \|Df^{-n}|F(f^n(x))\| \le C\lambda^n \ \forall x \in \Lambda, \ n \ge 0.$$

**Theorem A.** Let M be a compact boundary-less  $C^{\infty}$  surface and  $f : M \to M$ be a  $C^r$  diffeomorphism such that  $K \subset M$  is a compact f-invariant subset with a dominated splitting  $E \oplus F$ . Then f/K is h-expansive.

Since the property of having a dominated splitting is open we may conclude that any  $g C^1$  close to f is such that  $g/K_g$  is *h*-expansive.

In case M is a d-dimensional manifold with  $d \ge 3$  dominance alone is not enough to guarantee h-expansiveness as it is shown in the examples.

Reciprocally one has

**Theorem B.** Let M and  $f : M \to M$  be as in Theorem A and H(p) an f-homoclinic class associated to the f-hyperbolic periodic point p. Assume that there is a  $C^1$  neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of f such that for any  $g \in \mathcal{U}$  it holds that there is a continuation  $H(p_g)$  of H(p) such that  $H(p_g)$  is h-expansive. Then H(p) has a dominated splitting.

## References

[BDP]	BONATTI, CH, DIAZ, L.J., PUJALS, E., $A C^1$ -generic dichotomy for diffeomorphisms: weak forms of hyperbolicity or infinitely many sinks or sources, Ann. of Math., Vol. (1999), p
[Bo]	R. BOWEN, <i>Entropy-expansive maps</i> , Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, <b>vol 164</b> (February 1972), p. 323-331.
[Bu]	J. BUZZI, Intrinsic ergodicity for smooth interval map, Israel J. Math., <b>100</b> (1997), p. 125-161.
[DPU]	L.J. DIAZ, E.R. PUJALS, R. URES, <i>Partial hyperbolicity and robust transitivity</i> , Acta Mathematica, Vol. 183 (1999), p. 1-43.
[DRV]	L.J. DIAZ, J. ROCHA, M. VIANA, Strange attractors in saddle- node cycles: prevalence and globality, Invent. Math., Vol. 125 No 1 (1996), p. 37-74.
[Fr]	J. FRANKS, Necessary conditions for stability if diffeomorphisms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., <b>158</b> (1971), p. 301-308.
[Ge]	MARLIES GERBER, Conditional stability and real analytic pseudo- Anosov maps, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., <b>54</b> 1985.321
[LL]	J. LEWOWICZ, E. LIMA DE SÁ, Analytic models of pseudo-Anosov maps, Erg. Th. Dynam. Sys, 6 (1986), p. 385-392.
[Ma1]	R. MAÑÉ, An ergodic closing lemma, Annals of Mathematics, 116 (1982), p. 503-540.
[Mi]	M. MISURIEWICZ, Diffeomorphisms without any measure with maximal entropy, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci., <b>21</b> (1973), p. 903-910.
[Nh]	S. NEWHOUSE, New phenomena associated with homoclinic tan- gencies, Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys., <b>24</b> (2004), p. 1725-1738.
[Nh2]	S. NEWHOUSE, The abundance of wild hypebolic sets and non- smooth stable sets for diffeomorphisms, Inst. Haute Études Sci. Publ. Math., <b>50</b> (1979), p. 101-151.
[Pl1]	V. A. PLISS, Analysis of the necessity of the conditions of Smale and Robbin for structural stability of periodic systems of differential equations, Diff. Uravnenija, <b>8</b> (1972), p. 972-983.

[PS1]	E. PUJALS, M. SAMBARINO, Homoclinic tangencies and hyperbol- icity for surface diffeomorphisms, Annals of Mathematics, <b>151</b> (2000), p. 961-1023.
[PS2]	E. PUJALS, M. SAMBARINO, On the Dynamics of Dominated Split- ting, Preprint, (2002), p
[PPV]	M. J. PACIFICO, E. R. PUJALS, J. L. VIEITEZ, <i>Robust expansive homoclinic classes</i> , Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, <b>25</b> (2005), p. 271-300.
[PPSV]	M. J. PACIFICO, E. PUJALS, M. SAMBARINO, J. VIEITEZ, <i>Robustly expansive codimension-one homoclinic classes are hyperbolic</i> , submitted in Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, <b>preprint</b> (), p
[Sm]	S. SMALE, <i>Diffeomorphisms with many periodic points</i> , Differential and Combinatorial Topology, <b>Princeton University Press</b> 1965.
[SV]	M. SAMBARINO, J. VIEITEZ, On C <sup>1</sup> -persistently Expansive Homo- clinic Classes, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, 14, No.3 (2006), p. 465-481.